arXiv:gr-qc/0502070v2 22 Mar 2005

Generalized Gravity and a Ghost

Takeshi Chiba[1,2]

[1] *Department of Physics, College of Humanities and Sciences,*
Nihon University, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan
[2]*Division of Theoretical Astronomy,*
National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan

(Dated: February 7, 2008)

Abstract

We show that generalized gravity theories involving the curvature invariants of the Ricci tensor and the Riemann tensor as well as the Ricci scalar are equivalent to multi- scalar-tensor gravities with four-derivatives terms. By expanding the action around a vacuum spacetime, the action is reduced to that of the Einstein gravity with four-derivative terms, and consequently there appears a massive spin-2 ghost in such generalized gravity theories in addition to a massive spin-0 field.

PACS numbers: 04.80.Cc; 95.35.+d; 98.80.Es

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem of dark energy is the problem of Ω: $\Omega = 8\pi G\rho_M/3H^2 < 1$. Since Ω can be regarded as the ratio of the right-hand-side of the Einstein equation (matter) to the left-hand-side of the Einstein equation (curvature=gravity), in order to make $\Omega = 1$ one requires either (i) introduction of new form of matter(energy): dark energy or (ii) modification of gravity in the large, so that the total energy density is equal to the critical density, which is required by theory (inflation) or by observation (WMAP).

Recent attempts to modify gravity by introducing a R^{-1} term [1, 2] (or more generally a function of the Ricci scalar $F(R)$) fall into the latter possibility. However, it is found that such a gravity theory is equivalent to the Brans-Dicke theory with vanishing Brans-Dicke parameter ω and a potential term. The effective mass of the Brans-Dicke scalar field in CDTT model is found to be very small ($\sim H_0$). Hence, together with $\omega = 0$, the solar system experiments exclude such a theory [3, 4].

More recently, a more generalized version of modified gravity theories is proposed whose Lagrangian is a general function of curvature tensors [5] (seel also [6]):

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g}d^4xF(R, R_{ab}R^{ab}, R_{abcd}R^{abcd}). \tag{1}$$

The purpose of this note is threefold. We shall firstly show that the above action is equivalent to multi-scalar-tensor gravity theory with four-derivative terms (Sec.2). The equivalent action, however, is still complicated for studying observational consequences of the model. Therefore, in Sec.3, we expand the action around a vacuum spacetime and show that there appear massive spin-2 ghost excitations around a vacuum. Applying the previous results to the CDDETT model [5], it is found that a spin-2 ghost does appear indeed and that the vacuum is unstable.

II. EQUIVALENT ACTION

Introducing auxiliary scalar fields ϕ_1, ϕ_2 and ϕ_3, the action Eq.(1) is equivalent to

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g}d^4x\Big[F(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3) + F_1(R - \phi_1) + F_2(R_{ab}R^{ab} - \phi_2) + F_3(R_{abcd}R^{abcd} - \phi_3)\Big], \tag{2}$$

where $F_i = \partial F/\partial\phi_i$. It can be found that if the matrix $\partial^2 F/\partial\phi_j\partial\phi_j$ is non-degenerate, the equations of motion of ϕ_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ give $\phi_1 = R, \phi_2 = R_{ab}R^{ab}$ and $\phi_3 = R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$. Putting these into Eq.(2) yields the original action Eq.(1). Thus the action Eq.(1) is equivalent to the action Eq.(2): multi(triple)-scalar-tensor gravity theory with four-derivative terms.

Because of the following identity (the Gauss-Bonnet invariant)[7, 8]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{ab}}\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x(R_{abcd}R^{abcd}-4R_{ab}R^{ab}+R^2)\\
&=\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{ab}}\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x\left(C_{abcd}C^{abcd}-2R_{ab}R^{ab}+\frac{2}{3}R^2\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

where C_{abcd} is the Weyl tensor, Eq.(2) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\ =\ &\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x\Big[F(\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3)+F_1R+\frac{1}{3}(F_2+F_3)R^2+\frac{1}{2}(F_2+4F_3)C_{abcd}C^{abcd}\\
&-\frac{1}{2}(F_2+2F_3)\left(C_{abcd}C^{abcd}-2R_{ab}R^{ab}+\frac{2}{3}R^2\right)-\phi_1F_1-\phi_2F_2-\phi_3F_3\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

Introducing the fourth auxiliary field ϕ_4, the above action is further rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S\ =\ &\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x\Big[F(\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3)+\frac{1}{3}\left(3F_1+2(F_2+F_3)\phi_4\right)R\\
&+\frac{1}{2}(F_2+4F_3)C_{abcd}C^{abcd}-\frac{1}{2}(F_2+2F_3)\left(C_{abcd}C^{abcd}-2R_{ab}R^{ab}+\frac{2}{3}R^2\right)\\
&-\phi_1F_1-\phi_2F_2-\phi_3F_3-\frac{1}{3}(F_2+F_3)\phi_4^2\Big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{5}
$$

Hence the gravity theory described by Eq.(1) is equivalent to multi(quadruple)-scalar-tensor gravity whose scalar fields are coupled to the curvature terms (Ricci scalar, Weyl squared and the Gauss-Bonnet term).

III. WEYL GHOST

The equivalent action Eq.(5), however, is still complicated and is not useful for studying the field content of the theory and the experimental constraints on the theory.[1] In order to do so, we limit ourselves to studying excitations around a vacuum state (a maximally symmetric spacetime with a constant Ricci scalar R_0) [10]. We introduce trace-free components of the Ricci tensor, $R_{ab}=S_{ab}+Rg_{ab}/4$, and keep terms up to quadratic order in the curvature, and noting that the trace-free components are vanishing for maximally symmetric spacetimes, we have

---

[1] Only recently have experimental constraints on single scalar-tensor gravity with the Gauss-Bonnet term been studied in [9].

$$\begin{aligned} S \ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[F_0+\frac{\partial F}{\partial R}\Big|_0(R-R_0)+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2}\Big|_0(R-R_0)^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2}\Big|_0 S^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial C^2}\Big|_0 C^2\Big] \\ &= \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\Big(F_0-\frac{\partial F}{\partial R}\Big|_0 R_0+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2}\Big|_0 R_0^2\Big)+\Big(\frac{\partial F}{\partial R}\Big|_0-\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2}\Big|_0 R_0\Big)R \qquad (6) \\ &\quad+\Big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2}\Big|_0+\frac{1}{24}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2}\Big|_0\Big)R^2+\Big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial C^2}\Big|_0+\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2}\Big|_0\Big)C^2-\frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2}\Big|_0\Big(C^2-2S^2+\frac{1}{6}R^2\Big)\Big], \end{aligned}$$

where subscript 0 denotes the value evaluated at the maximally symmetric spacetime and $C^2 = C_{abcd}C^{abcd}$. The final expression is the Einstein-Hilbert action with a cosmological constant (the first and second term) with a Ricci squared term (the third term) and a Weyl squared term (the fourth term) and a Gauss-Bonnet term (the fifth term).[2] The Gauss-Bonnet term can be dropped classically as a total divergence. The action is thus equivalent to

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int\sqrt{-g}d^4x\Big(R-2\Lambda+\frac{1}{6m_0^2}R^2-\frac{1}{2m_2^2}C^2\Big), \qquad (7)$$

that is, the action of the gravity theory with the four-derivative terms (R^2, C^2) which has been extensively studied [10, 11, 12], and it is found that there appear new degrees of freedom: a massive spin-0 field (with mass m_0) corresponding to R^2 term (common one for general $F(R)$ type gravity) and a massive spin-2 field (with mass m_2) corresponding to the Weyl squared term. Moreover, the massive spin-2 field is known to have a wrong sign of kinetic term and thus has negative energy: a ghost field (the Weyl ghost, also known as poltergeist)[11]. [3]

The presence of a ghost may be seen in three ways: i) the direct analysis of linearized fields [11], or ii) the analysis of propagator [11, 13], or iii) the use of no-go theorem excluding consistent cross-couplings between spin-2 fields [14, 15]. We briefly mention the last method. Introducing an auxiliary field ϕ, Eq.(7) can be rewritten as [10]

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[\Big(1+\frac{\phi}{3m_0^2}R\Big)-\frac{\phi^2}{6m_0^2}-\frac{1}{2m_2^2}C^2\right]. \qquad (8)$$

If we perform the conformal transformation, $\tilde{g}_{ab}=(1+\phi/3m_0^2)g_{ab}=e^{\varphi}g_{ab}$, then Eq.(8) is further rewritten as (recall that Weyl squared term is conformally invariant)

$$S=\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[\tilde{R}-\frac{3}{2}(\tilde{\nabla}\varphi)^2-\frac{3m_0^2}{2}(1-e^{-\varphi})^2-\frac{1}{2m_2^2}\tilde{C}^2\right]. \qquad (9)$$

---

[2] Note that $R_{abcd}R^{abcd}-4R_{ab}R^{ab}+R^2=C_{abcd}C^{abcd}-2S_{ab}S^{ab}+R^2/6$.

[3] We note that although the Weyl ghost can be eliminated if its mass is infinite (with a fine-tuning), the massive scalar field still persists and the problem of $F(R)$ gravity comes back.

Then introducing another auxiliary field π_{ab} and using Eq.(3), Eq.(9) is equivalent to

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{g}}\left[\tilde{R} - \frac{3}{2}(\tilde{\nabla}\varphi)^2 - \frac{3m_0^2}{2}(1-e^{-\varphi})^2 - \tilde{G}_{ab}\tilde{\pi}^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}m_2^2(\tilde{\pi}_{ab}\tilde{\pi}^{ab} - \tilde{\pi}^2)\right].\tag{10}$$

From the equation of motion of $\tilde{\pi}_{ab}$, $2\tilde{G}_{ab} = m_2^2(\tilde{\pi}_{ab} - \tilde{\pi}\tilde{g}_{ab})$. Further it is found from the equation of motion of metric that $\tilde{\pi}_{ab}$ field satisfies a generalized transverse traceless condition: spin-2 field [10]. Thus, the fourth term in Eq.(10) represents the cross interaction term between spin-2 fields ($\tilde{g}_{ab}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{ab}$) which is excluded by the no-go theorem [14, 15]. According to the no-go theorem, there is no consistent (ghost-free) coupling between spin-2 fields: such a coupling necessarily yields ghosts.

IV. APPLICATION

For the case of CDDETT model [5]

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R - \frac{\mu^{4n+2}}{(aR^2 + bR_{ab}R^{ab} + cR_{abcd}R^{abcd})^n}\right),\tag{11}$$

by expanding the action around a maximally symmetric spacetime with Ricci scalar $R = R_0$ up to the quadratic order in the curvature, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S \;=\; & \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[-(n+1)(2n+1)\lambda + \left(1 + \frac{4n(n+1)\lambda}{R_0}\right)R \\ & -\frac{n\lambda(6(2n+1)a + (3n+1)b + 2nc)}{6dR_0^2}R^2 + \frac{n\lambda(b+4c)}{2dR_0^2}C_{abcd}C^{abcd}\Big], \end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

where $d = a+b/4+c/6$, $\lambda = \mu^{4n+2}/(dR_0^2)^n$. Comparing with Eq.(7), we find that the effective mass squared, for both a scalar field of freedom and a spin-2 field of freedom, is negative (tachyonic) as long as a, b, c and n are positive, which implies that the vacuum is unstable. Note that this conclusion is consistent with the analysis in [5], although our analysis is not limited to homogeneous and isotropic cosmological models. In any case, the presence of the Weyl squared term implies the presence of a ghost field.

We may estimate the order-of-magnitude of the effective mass squared, m_0^2 and m_2^2, for the CDDETT model as an alternative to dark energy. In order that the "correction term" (the second term) in Eq.(11) plays the role of dark energy (presently dominant component of the universe), it should be comparable to (or larger than) the first term (the Einstein-Hilbert term). Thus, for $R \simeq H_0^2$, $\mu^{4n+2}/H_0^{4n} \gtrsim H_0^2$, therefore $\mu \gtrsim H_0$. Here we have assumed that the coefficients a, b and c are order unity. Since $\lambda \gtrsim H_0^2$, we thus obtain

$$|m_0^2| \simeq |m_2^2| \simeq R_0^2/\lambda \lesssim H_0^2, \tag{13}$$

which are very light, as one might have expected, and are effectively massless as far as the local experiments (like solar system experiments) are concerned.

A linearized static spherically symmetric solution for Eq.(7) is obtained in [11] and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -(1+2U)dt^2 + (1+2V)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \qquad (14) \\ & U = -\frac{GM}{r} - \frac{GM}{3}\frac{e^{-m_0 r}}{r} + \frac{4GM}{3}\frac{e^{-m_2 r}}{r} \\ & V = \frac{GM}{r} - \frac{GM}{3}\frac{e^{-m_0 r}}{r} - \frac{4GM}{3}\frac{e^{-m_2 r}}{r} - \frac{GM}{3} m_0 e^{-m_0 r} - \frac{2GM}{3} m_2 e^{-m_2 r}. \end{aligned}$$

For vanishing m_0 and m_2, we have $U = 0$ and $V = -2GM/r$. Hence the correct Newtonian limit is not reproduced and the PPN (parameterized post-Newtonian) parameter $\gamma = -V/U$ is undefined. (We also note that if $m_0 = 0$ and m_2 is very large, then $\gamma = 1/2$, which corresponds to the Brans-Dicke parameter $\omega = 0$ being consistent with [3].)

Hence the gravity model of this type is much more problematic than $F(R)$ type gravity: it contains new gravitational degrees of freedom in addition to massless spin-2 field: an almost massless scalar field and a massive spin-2 ghost (a state with negative energy). It is not viable gravity theory from both phenomenological (solar system experiments) and theoretical (consistency) point of view.[4]

V. SUMMARY

We have shown that the generalized gravity of $F(R, R_{ab}R^{ab}, R_{abcd}R^{abcd})$ type is equivalent to multi-scalar-tensor gravity theory with four-derivative terms. It would be interesting to investigate the weak field gravity in such gravity theories. We have also shown that the degrees of freedom of the generalized gravity of $F(R, R_{ab}R^{ab}, R_{abcd}R^{abcd})$ type is equivalent to that of $R^2 + C^2$ gravity. It contains a massive scalar field (Brans-Dicke type scalar) and a massive spin-2 field. The massive spin-2 field has negative energy and is a ghost. In quantum theory, negative energy must be traded for quantum states with positive energy but this time with negative norm, thus losing unitarity. If such modifications became important recently, the scalar field and massive spin-2 field are generically very light and mediate a gravity force of long range. Hence such a theory cannot be a viable gravity theory from both phenomenological (solar system experiments) and theoretical (consistency) point of view. As a side remark, we note that our analysis makes use of a topological identity (Gauss-Bonnet identity) which is valid only in four spacetime dimensionsand hence does not preclude the presence of higher curvature terms in higher dimensional theory.

---

[4] Similar observations are made in [13] by analysing propagator directly along the line of [11].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Prof. K. Maeda at the early stage of this work. This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No.15740152) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

---

[1] S. M. Carroll, V. Duvvuri, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 043528 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0306438].

[2] S. Capozziello, S. Carloni, A. Troisi, astro-ph/0303041.

[3] T. Chiba, Phys. Lett. B **575**, 1 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0307338].

[4] E. E. Flanagan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 071101 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0308111].

[5] S. M. Carroll, A. De Felice, V. Duvvuri, D. A. Easson, M. Trodden and M. S. Turner, arXiv:astro-ph/0410031.

[6] M.S. Madsen and J.D. Barrow, Nucl. Phys. **B323**, 242 (1989).

[7] B.S. DeWitt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields* (Gordon and Breach, 1965).

[8] J.D. Barrow and A.C. Ottewill, J. Phys. A.**16**, 2757 (1983).

[9] G. Esposito-Farese, gr-qc/0409081.

[10] A. Hindawi, B. A. Ovrut and D. Waldram, Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5597 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9509147]; Phys. Rev. D **53**, 5583 (1996).

[11] K.S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. **9**, 353 (1978).

[12] G. Magnano, M. Ferraris, and M. Francaviglia, Gen. Rel. Grav. **19**, 465 (1987); M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia, and G. Magnano, Class. Quantum Grav. **5** L95 (1988); Class. Quantum Grav.

7 557 (1990); A. Jakubiec and J. Kijowski, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 1406 (1988); E.T. Tomboulis, Phys. Lett. B **389**, 225 (1996).

[13] A. Núñez and S. Solganik, hep-th/0411102.

[14] C. Aragone and S. Deser, Nuovo Cim. **B57**, 33 (1980).

[15] N. Boulanger, T. Damour, L. Gualtieri and M. Henneaux, Nucl. Phys. B **597**, 127 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0007220].